

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,909	12/16/2005	Robert Frigg	10139/02002	3108	
76960 Fay Kaplun &	7590 03/03/200 Marcin II.P	EXAMINER			
150 Broadway	, suite 702		WOODALL, NICHOLAS W		
New York, N	Y 10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3775		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/532,909	FRIGG ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nicholas Woodall	3775		
Niciolas Woodali	3113		

	Nicholas Woodall	3775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period oxide valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11. San attached Nation of Nan Co	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		Impliant Amendment (F TOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Nicholas Woodall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775					